

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
MACON DIVISION**

GRADY RENARD WILLIAMS, JR,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Case No. 5:21-cv-00061-MTT-CHW
	:	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, <i>et al.</i>,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	

ORDER AND RECOMMENDATION

In early April 2021, the Court entered judgment dismissing without prejudice and as frivolous this action commenced by Plaintiff Grady Williams, also known as Abdul Malik Bey. (Docs. 8, 9). Now before the Court are two motions filed by Plaintiff.

In one motion, Plaintiff asks the Court to vacate its judgment based on fraud, and specifically on the ground that Plaintiff Williams or Bey is a sovereign citizen who seeks expatriation from the United States. Because Plaintiff's sovereign citizen theory is frivolous and does not request any judicially cognizable relief, it is **RECOMMENDED** that Plaintiff's motion to vacate be **DENIED**. (Doc. 10). *See, e.g., Trevino v. Florida*, 687 F. App'x 861, 862 (11th Cir. 2017). For the same reason, it is **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion for judicial notice (Doc. 11) is **DENIED**.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the parties may serve and file written objections to this Recommendation, or seek an extension of time to file objections, WITHIN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS after being served with a copy thereof. The District Judge shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the Recommendation to which objection is made. All other portions of the Recommendation may be reviewed for clear error.

The parties are further notified that, pursuant to Eleventh Circuit Rule 3-1, “[a] party failing to object to a magistrate judge’s findings or recommendations contained in a report and recommendation in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to challenge on appeal the district court’s order based on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions if the party was informed of the time period for objecting and the consequences on appeal for failing to object. In absence of a proper objection, however, the court may review on appeal for plain error if necessary in the interests of justice.”

SO ORDERED and RECOMMENDED, this 30th day of July, 2021.

s/ Charles H. Weigle
Charles H. Weigle
United States Magistrate Judge